

CABINET



Report subject	Recommendations from the Transportation Advisory Group
Meeting date	18 March 2020
Status	Public Report
Executive summary	<p>This covering report asks the Cabinet to consider representations made in response to the advertisement of a number of Traffic Regulation Orders.</p> <p>Additionally, Cabinet is asked to approve the sealing and advertisement of a number of other Traffic Regulation Orders.</p> <p>The reports have been considered by the recent meeting of the Transportation Advisory Group.</p>
Recommendations	<p>It is RECOMMENDED that Cabinet:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Confirms that permission be granted to create an order to record the unprotected footpath from James Road to Sheringham Road as a Public Right of Way as set out in the TRO report; (b) In relation to the Branksome Park and Canford Cliffs Residents Association Road Safety Petition approves: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Acknowledgement of the petitioners concerns and they be advised that in the absence of any significant accident record in this area we could not justify any road safety engineering interventions at present; ii. Forwarding of the petitioners concerns to Dorset Roads Traffic Policing Unit for their consideration relating to speed enforcement; iii. Informing the petitioners that the Council will investigate and assess options to enhance pedestrian and cycle facilities in the area but that no funding has been identified for implementing this work; and

	<p>iv. Advising the local resident group which submitted the petition that its bid for Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Neighbourhood Portion funding is to be considered alongside all other similar bids received and a decision on potential funding will be made in due course.</p> <p>(c) Approves the advertisement of Traffic Regulation Orders as set out in TRO sub-report A;</p> <p>(d) Approves the advertisement of changes to on-street disabled bays as set out in the TRO sub-report B;</p> <p>(e) In relation to the Anti-Idling Outside Primary Schools Campaign approves:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. an Anti-Idling Campaign be undertaken at a small number of primary schools using allocated DEFRA funding; ii. appropriate enforcement action be used but as a last resort; iii. should the campaign prove successful, it will be rolled out to additional schools across the BCP area in future years; and iv. that officer include information to schools about the carbon footprint of different types of transportation methods to educate parents on the impact of how journeys are made.
Reason for recommendations	The reasons for the recommendations are set out in the following reports.

Portfolio Holder(s):	Councillor Andy Hadley, Portfolio Holder Transport & Infrastructure
Corporate Director	Bill Cotton, Corporate Director Regeneration
Service Director	Julian McLaughlin, Growth & Infrastructure
Contributors	Chris Parkes, Team Leader - Traffic Management Steve Dean, Traffic Management Engineer
Wards	Various
Classification	For Decision

Background

1. The Council is required by statute to undertake public consultation in respect of Traffic Regulation Orders it wishes to make.
2. It has the power to advertise, consult upon and make Traffic Regulation Orders and related Highways Orders for a variety of different purposes.
3. There are a number of approvals sought by Cabinet for approvals to consult and make Orders and these are set out in appendices attached to this covering Report.
4. This covering Report is provided to enable the items to be considered as one agenda item and assist councillors and the public in the presentation of the matters for consideration.
5. The approvals requested have already been considered by the Transportation Advisory Group at their meeting on 26 February 2020, a copy of the minutes and recommendations from that meeting are appended to this report as Appendix 1.

Summary of financial implications

6. None specifically relating to this covering report.

Summary of legal implications

7. The Council is required to follow the statutory process in respect of making the relevant Orders, and seeks legal advice where required.

Summary of human resources implications

8. None relating to this report.

Summary of environmental impact

9. There are no environmental impacts arising from this report.

Summary of public health implications

10. There are no public health implications arising from this report.

Summary of equality implications

11. There are no new equality implications arising from this report.

Summary of risk assessment

12. There are risks associated with the Orders as requested not being approved, and any risks are set out in the appendices.

Appendices

Appendix 1 - Minutes and recommendations of the Transportation Advisory Group –
26 February 2020